On the quasi-$\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter of a finite group

V. I. Murashka
{mvimath@yandex.ru}
Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Gomel

Abstract. In this paper some properties of the $\mathfrak{F}^*$-hypercenter of a finite group are studied where $\mathfrak{F}^*$ is the class of all finite quasi-$\mathfrak{F}$-groups for a hereditary saturated formation $\mathfrak{F}$ of finite groups. In particular, it is shown that the quasinilpotent hypercenter of a finite group coincides with the intersection of all maximal quasinilpotent subgroups.

Keywords. Finite groups; quasinilpotent groups; quasi-$\mathfrak{F}$-groups; hereditary saturated formation; hypercenter of a group.

AMS(2010). 20D25, 20F17, 20F19.

arXiv:1611.04507v1 [math.GR] 14 Nov 2016

Introduction and the results

All considered groups are finite. In [1] R. Baer showed that the hypercenter $\mathrm{Z}_\infty(G)$ of a group G coincides with the intersection of all maximal nilpotent subgroups of G. The concept of hypercenter was extended on classes of groups (see [11, p. 127–128] or [5, 1, 2.2]). Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a class of groups. A chief factor H/K of a group G is called $\mathfrak{X}$-central if $(H/K)\leftthreetimes G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{X}$ otherwise it is called $\mathfrak{X}$-eccentric. A normal subgroup N of G is said to be $\mathfrak{X}$-hypercentral in G if $N = 1$ or $N \neq 1$ and every chief factor of G below N is $\mathfrak{X}$-central. The $\mathfrak{X}$-hypercenter $\mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{X}(G)$ is the product of all normal $\mathfrak{X}$-hypercentral subgroups of G. So if $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{N}$ is the class of all nilpotent groups then $\mathrm{Z}_\infty(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{N}(G)$ for every group G.

L. A. Shemetkov possed the following problem on the Gomel Algebraic Seminar in 1995: "Describe all hereditary saturated formations $\mathfrak{F}$ such that $\mathrm{Int}_\mathfrak{F}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ holds for every group G". This problem was solved by A. N. Skiba in [12]. Let F be the canonical local definition of a nonempty local formation $\mathfrak{F}$. Then $\mathfrak{F}$ is said to satisfy the boundary condition [12] if $\mathfrak{F}$ contains every group G whose all maximal subgroups belong to $F(p)$ for some prime p.

Theorem 1 ([12, Theorem A]). *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation. Then $\mathrm{Int}_\mathfrak{F}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ holds for every group G if and only if $\mathfrak{F}$ satisfies the boundary condition.*

The natural generalization of a saturated formation is a solubly saturated formation. Recall that a formation $\mathfrak{F}$ is called solubly saturated if from $G/\Phi(G_\mathfrak{S}) \in \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ where $G_\mathfrak{S}$ is the soluble radical of a group G. Every saturated formation is solubly saturated. The class $\mathfrak{N}^*$ of all quasinilpotent groups is the example of a solubly saturated and not saturated formation. Hence the following problem seems natural:

Problem 1. Describe all normally hereditary solubly saturated formations $\mathfrak{F}$ such that $\mathrm{Int}_\mathfrak{F}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ holds for every group G.

Remark 1. The solution of Problem 1 when $\mathfrak{F}$ is a normally hereditary saturated formation is not known at the time of writing.

Recall [3, 3.4.8] that every solubly saturated formation $\mathfrak{F}$ contains the greatest saturated subformation $\mathfrak{F}_l$ with respect to inclusion. A. F. Vasil'ev suggested the following problem:

Problem 2 (A. F. Vasil'ev). (1) Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be a saturated formation. Assume that $\mathrm{Int}_\mathfrak{H}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{H}(G)$ holds for every group G. Describe all normally hereditary solubly saturated formations $\mathfrak{F}$ with $\mathfrak{F}_l = \mathfrak{H}$ such that $\mathrm{Int}_\mathfrak{F}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ holds for every group G.

(2) Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a normally hereditary solubly saturated formation. Assume that $\mathrm{Int}_\mathfrak{F}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_\mathfrak{F}(G)$ holds for every group G. Does $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}_l}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}_l}(G)$ hold for every group G?

Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a normally hereditary solubly saturated formation. The following example shows that if $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}_l}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}_l}(G)$ holds for every group G then it is not necessary that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G.

Example 1. Recall that $\mathfrak{N}_{ca}$ is the class of groups whose abelian chief factors are central and non-abelin chief factors are simple groups. According to [14] $\mathfrak{N}_{ca}$ is a normally hereditary solubly saturated formation. As follows from [3, 3.4.5] the greatest saturated subformation with respect to inclusion of $\mathfrak{N}_{ca}$ is $\mathfrak{N}$. Recall that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{N}}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}}(G)$ holds for every group G.

Let $G \simeq D_4(2)$ be a Chevalley orthogonal group and H be a $\mathfrak{N}_{ca}$-maximal subgroup of $Aut(G)$. We may assume that $G \simeq Inn(G)$ is a normal subgroup of $Aut(G)$. Since G is simple and $HG/G \in \mathfrak{N}_{ca}$, $HG \in \mathfrak{N}_{ca}$ by the definition of $\mathfrak{N}_{ca}$. Hence $HG = H$. So G lies in the intersection of all $\mathfrak{N}_{ca}$-maximal subgroups of $Aut(G)$. From [9] it follows that $Aut(G)/C_{Aut(G)}(G) \simeq Aut(G)$. If $G \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}_{ca}}(Aut(G))$ then $G \leftthreetimes (Aut(G)/C_{Aut(G)}(G)) \simeq G \leftthreetimes Aut(G) \in \mathfrak{N}_{ca}$. Note that $Out(G) \simeq S_3 \notin \mathfrak{N}_{ca}$ is the quotient group of $G \leftthreetimes Aut(G)$. Therefore $G \leftthreetimes Aut(G) \notin \mathfrak{N}_{ca}$. Thus $G \not\leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}_{ca}}(Aut(G))$ and $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{N}_{ca}}(Aut(G)) \neq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}_{ca}}(Aut(G))$.

In [6, 7] W. Guo and A. N. Skiba introduced the concept of quasi-$\mathfrak{F}$-group for a saturated formation $\mathfrak{F}$. Recall that a group G is called quasi-$\mathfrak{F}$-group if for every $\mathfrak{F}$-eccentric chief factor H/K and every $x \in G$, x induces inner automorphism on H/K. We use $\mathfrak{F}^*$ to denote the class of all quasi-$\mathfrak{F}$-groups. If $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ is a normally hereditary saturated formation then $\mathfrak{F}^*$ is a normally hereditary solubly saturated formation by [6, Theorem 2.6].

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation containing all nilpotent groups. Then $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G if and only if $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$ holds for every group G.*

Corollary 1. *The intersection of all maximal quasinilpotent subgroups of a group G is $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G)$.*

Remark 2. Let $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation. As follows from [3, 3.4.5] and [5, 1.3, 3.6] the greatest saturated subformation with respect to inclusion of $\mathfrak{F}^*$ is $\mathfrak{F}$.

Remark 3. Note that every $\mathfrak{N}$-central chief factor is central. From (4) and (5) of the proof of Theorem 2 it follows that $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G)$ is the greatest normal subgroup of G such that every element of G induces an inner automorphism on every chief factor of G below $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G)$.

1 Preliminaries

The notation and terminology agree with the books [3, 5]. We refer the reader to these books for the results on formations. Recall that $\pi(G)$ is the set of all prime divisors of a group G, $\pi(\mathfrak{F}) = \bigcup_{G \in \mathfrak{F}} \pi(G)$ and $\mathbb{P}$ is the set of all primes.

Recall that if $\mathfrak{F}$ is a saturated formation, there exists a unique formation function F, defining $\mathfrak{F}$, which is integrated ($F(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$, the set of all primes) and full ($F(p) = \mathfrak{N}_p F(p)$ for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$) [3, IV, 3.8]. F is called the canonical local definition of $\mathfrak{F}$.

Lemma 1 ([5, 1, 1.15])**.** *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a saturated formation, F be its canonical local definition and G be a group. Then a chief factor H/K of G is $\mathfrak{F}$-central if and only if $G/C_G(H/K) \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$.*

The following lemma directly follows from [8, X, 13.16(a)].

Lemma 2. *Let a normal subgroup N of a group G be a direct product of isomorphic simple non-abelian groups. Then N is a direct product of minimal normal subgroups of G.*

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation. Then $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G) \leq \mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{F}$ be a hereditary saturated formation with the canonical local definition F, M be a $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup of G and $N = M\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$. Let show that $N \in \mathfrak{F}^*$. It is sufficient to show that for every chief factor H/K of N below $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$ either H/K is $\mathfrak{F}$-central in N or every $x \in N$ induces an inner automorphism on H/K. Let $1 = Z_0 \lhd Z_1 \lhd \cdots \lhd Z_n = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$ be a chief series of G below $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$. Then we may assume that $Z_{i-1} \leq K \leq H \leq Z_i$ for some i by Jordan-Hölder theorem.

If Z_i/Z_{i-1} is an $\mathfrak{F}$-central chief factor of G then $G/C_G(Z_i/Z_{i-1}) \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(F(p))$ by Lemma 1. Since $F(p)$ is hereditary by [3, IV, 3.16], $NC_G(Z_i/Z_{i-1})/C_G(Z_i/Z_{i-1}) \simeq N/C_N(Z_i/Z_{i-1}) \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(F(p))$. Note that $N/C_N(H/K)$ is a quotient group of $N/C_N(Z_i/Z_{i-1})$. Thus H/K is an $\mathfrak{F}$-central chief factor of N by Lemma 1.

If Z_i/Z_{i-1} is a $\mathfrak{F}$-eccentric chief factor of G then every element of G induced an inner automorphism on it. It means that Z_i/Z_{i-1} is a simple group. Hence it is also a chief factor of N. From $N \leq G$ it follows that every element of N induces an inner automorphism on Z_i/Z_{i-1}.

Thus $N \in \mathfrak{F}^*$. So $N = M\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G) = M$. Therefore $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G) \leq M$ for every $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup M of G. □

2 Proof of Theorem 2

Assume that $\mathfrak{F}$ is a hereditary saturated formation containing all nilpotent groups with the canonical local definition F. Then $F(p)$ is a hereditary formation for all primes p (see [3, IV, 3.14]).

Suppose that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G. Let show that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$ also holds for every group G.

Let D be the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroups of G and let H/K be a chief factor of G below D.

(1) *If H/K is abelian then $MC_G(H/K)/C_G(H/K) \in F(p)$ for every $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup M of G.*

If H/K is abelian then it is an elementary abelian p-group for some prime p and $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}$. Let M be a $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup of G and $K = H_0 \lhd H_1 \lhd \cdots \lhd H_n = H$ be a part of a chief series of M. If H_i/H_{i-1} is $\mathfrak{F}$-eccentric for some i then every element of M induces an inner automorphism on H_i/H_{i-1}. So $M/C_M(H_i/H_{i-1}) \simeq 1 \in F(p)$. Therefore H_i/H_{i-1} is an $\mathfrak{F}$-central chief factor of M, a contradiction. Hence H_i/H_{i-1} is an $\mathfrak{F}$-central chief factor of M for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. So $M/C_M(H_i/H_{i-1}) \in F(p)$ by Lemma 1 for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore $M/C_M(H/K) \in \mathfrak{N}_p F(p) = F(p)$ by [13, Lemma 1]. Now $MC_G(H/K)/C_G(H/K) \simeq M/C_M(H/K) \in F(p)$ for every $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup M of G.

(2) *If $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}$ is non-abelian then $MC_G(H/K)/C_G(H/K) \in F(p)$ for every $p \in \pi(H/K)$ and every $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup M of G.*

If $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}$ is non-abelian then it is a direct product of isomorphic non-abelian simple $\mathfrak{F}$-groups. Let M be a $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup of G. By Lemma 2 $H/K = H_1/K \times \cdots \times H_n/K$ is a direct product of minimal normal subgroups H_i/K of M/K. From $H_i/K \in \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that $(H_i/K)/\mathrm{O}_{p',p}(H_i/K) \simeq H_i/K \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H_i/K)$ and all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Assume that H_i/K is a $\mathfrak{F}$-eccentric chief factor of M/K for some i. It means that every element of M induces an inner automorphism on H_i/K. So $M/C_M(H_i/K) \simeq H_i/K \in F(p)$, a contradiction.

Now H_i/K is $\mathfrak{F}$-central in M/K for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Therefore $M/C_M(H_i/K) \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H_i/K)$ by Lemma 1. Note that $C_M(H/K) = \cap_{i=1}^n C_M(H_i/K)$. Since $F(p)$ is a formation, $M/\cap_{i=1}^n C_M(H_i/K) = M/C_M(H/K) \in F(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$. It means that $MC_G(H/K)/C_G(H/K) \simeq M/C_M(H/K) \in F(p)$ for every $p \in \pi(H/K)$ and every $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup M of G.

(3) *If $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}$ then all $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroups of $G/C_G(H/K)$ are $F(p)$-groups.*

Let $Q/C_G(H/K)$ be a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup of $G/C_G(H/K)$. Then there exists a $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroup N of G with $NC_G(H/K)/C_G(H/K) = Q/C_G(H/K)$ by [5, 1, 5.7]. From $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^*$ it follows that there exists a $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup L of G with $N \leq L$. So $Q/C_G(H/K) \leq LC_G(H/K)/C_G(H/K) \in F(p)$ by (1) and (2). Since $F(p)$ is hereditary, $Q/C_G(H/K) \in F(p)$. It means that all $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroups of $G/C_G(H/K)$ are $F(p)$-groups. Hence all $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroups of $G/C_G(H/K)$ are $F(p)$-groups.

(4) *If $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}$ then it is $\mathfrak{F}$-central in G.*

Assume now that H/K is not $\mathfrak{F}$-central in G. So $G/C_G(H/K) \notin F(p)$ for some $p \in \pi(H/K)$ by Lemma 1. It means that $G/C_G(H/K)$ contains a s-critical for $F(p)$ subgroup $S/C_G(H/K)$ for some $p \in \pi(H/K)$. Since $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ holds for every group G, $S/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{F}$ by Theorem 1. Therefore $S/C_G(H/K) \in F(p)$ by (4), the contradiction. Thus H/K is $\mathfrak{F}$-central in G.

(5) *If $H/K \notin \mathfrak{F}$ is non-abelian then every element of G induces an inner automorphism on it.*

Let M be a $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup of G. By Lemma 2 $H/K = H_1/K \times \cdots \times H_n/K$ is a direct product of minimal normal subgroups H_i/K of M/K. Since $H_i/K \notin \mathfrak{F}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$, it is an $\mathfrak{F}$-eccentric chief factor of M for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. So every element of M induces an inner automorphism on H_i/K for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. It means that for all $a \in M$ and for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ there is $x(a,i) \in H_i/K$ and $(H_i/K)^a = (H_i/K)^{x(a,i)}$. So for all $a \in M$ there is $x(a) = x(a,1) \ldots x(a,n) \in H/K$ such that $(H/K)^a = (H_1/K)^a \times \cdots \times (H_n/K)^a = (H_1/K)^{x(a,1)} \times \cdots \times (H_n/K)^{x(a,n)} = (H_1/K)^{x(a)} \times \cdots \times (H_n/K)^{x(a)} = (H/K)^{x(a)}$.

It means that for every $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup M of G every element of M induces an inner automorphism on H/K. Since $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$, $\langle x \rangle \in \mathfrak{F}$ for every $x \in G$. From $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^*$ it follows that for every element x of G there is a $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup M of G with $x \in M$. Thus every element of G induces an inner automorphism on H/K.

(7) *The final step.*

If $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}$ then from $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^*$ and (4) it follows that H/K is $\mathfrak{F}^*$-central in G. Assume that $H/K \notin \mathfrak{F}$. By (5) every element of G induces an inner automorphism on it. Hence H/K is a simple non-abelian group. Since $G/C_G(H/K)$ is isomorphic to some subgroup of $Inn(H/K)$, we see that $G/C_G(H/K) \simeq H/K$. It is straightforward to check that $H/K \leftthreetimes G/C_G(H/K) \simeq H/K \times H/K$. From $H/K \in \mathfrak{F}^*$ it follows that $H/K \leftthreetimes G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{F}^*$. Hence H/K is $\mathfrak{F}^*$-central in G.

Thus every chief factor of G below D is $\mathfrak{F}^*$-central in G. Hence $D \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$. According to Lemma 3 $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G) \leq D$. Therefore $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G) = D$.

Suppose that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(G)$ holds for every group G. Let show that $\mathrm{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ also holds for every group G. Assume the contrary. Then there exists a s-critical for $F(p)$ group $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$ for some $p \in \mathbb{P}$ by Theorem 1. We may assume that G is a minimal group with this property. Then $\mathrm{O}_p(G) = \Phi(G) = 1$ and G has an unique minimal normal subgroup by [12, Lemma 2.10]. Note that G is also s-critical for $\mathfrak{F}$.

Assume that $G \notin \mathfrak{F}^*$. Then there exists a simple $\mathbb{F}_pG$-module V which is faithful for G by [3, 10.3B]. Let $T = V \leftthreetimes G$. Note that $T \notin \mathfrak{F}^*$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of T. If $V \leq M$ then $M = M \cap VG = V(M \cap G)$ where $M \cap G$ is a maximal subgroup of G. From $M \cap G \in F(p)$ and $F(p) = \mathfrak{N}_p F(p)$ it follows that $V(M \cap G) = M \in F(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^*$. Hence M is an $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroup of G. If $V \not\leq M$ then $M \simeq T/V \simeq G \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Now it is clear that the sets of all maximal $\mathfrak{F}$-subgroups and all $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroups of T coincide. Therefore V is the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroups of T. From $T \simeq V \leftthreetimes T/C_T(V) \notin \mathfrak{F}^*$ it follows that $V \not\leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(T)$, a contradiction.

Assume that $G \in \mathfrak{F}^*$. Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G. If $N < G$ then $N \in \mathfrak{F}$. As follows from (4) N is an $\mathfrak{F}$-central chief factor of G. So $N \leq \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. Since N is an unique

minimal normal subgroup of s-critical for $\mathfrak{F}$-group G and $\Phi(G) = 1$, we see that $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence $G \in \mathfrak{F}$, a contradiction. Thus $N = G$ is a simple group. From $\mathfrak{N} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that G is non-abelian.

Let $p \in \pi(G)$. According to [10] there is a simple Frattini $\mathbb{F}_pG$-module A which is faithful for G. By known Gaschütz theorem [4], there exists a Frattini extension $A \rightarrowtail R \twoheadrightarrow G$ such that $A \overset{G}{\simeq} \Phi(R)$ and $R/\Phi(R) \simeq G$. Since $\Phi(R) \leftthreetimes R/C_R(\Phi(R)) \simeq A \leftthreetimes G \notin \mathfrak{F}$, we see that $R \notin \mathfrak{F}^*$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of R. Then $M/\Phi(R)$ is isomorphic to a maximal subgroup of G. So $M/\Phi(R) \in F(p)$. From $\mathfrak{N}_pF(p) = F(p)$ it follows that $M \in F(p) \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^*$. Hence the sets of maximal and $\mathfrak{F}^*$-maximal subgroups of R coincide. Thus $\Phi(R) = \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(R)$. From $R/\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}^*}(R) \simeq G \in \mathfrak{F}^*$ it follows that $R \in \mathfrak{F}^*$, the final contradiction.

References

[1] R. Baer. Group Elements of Prime Power Index. *Trans. Amer. Math Soc.* **75**(1) (1953), 20–47.

[2] A. Ballester-Bolinches and L. M. Ezquerro. *Classes of Finite Groups* (Springer, 2006).

[3] K. Doerk and T. Hawkes. *Finite soluble groups* (Walter de Gruyter, 1992).

[4] W. Gashütz. Über modulare Darstellungen endlicher Gruppen, die von freien Gruppen induziert werden. *Math. Z.* **60** (1954), 274–286.

[5] W. Guo. *Structure theory for canonical classes of finite groups* (Springer, 2015).

[6] W. Guo and A. N. Skiba. On finite quasi-$\mathfrak{F}$-groups. *Comm. Algebra* **37** (2009), 470–481.

[7] W. Guo and A. N. Skiba. On some classes of finite quasi-$\mathfrak{F}$-groups. *J. Group Theory* **12** (2009), 407–417.

[8] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn. *Finite groups*, vol. 3 (Springer-Verlag, 1982).

[9] J. Moori. On the Automorphism Group of the Group $D_4(2)$. *J. Algebra* **80** (1983), 216–225.

[10] P. Schmid, R. L. Griess. The Frattini module. *Arch. Math.* **30** (1978), 256–266.

[11] L. A. Shemetkov and A. N. Skiba. *Formations of algebraic systems.* (Nauka, Moscow, 1989). (In Russian)

[12] A. N. Skiba. On the $\mathfrak{F}$-hypercenter and the intersection of all $\mathfrak{F}$-maximal subgroups of a finite group. *Journal of Pure and Aplied Algebra* **216**(4) (2012), 789–799.

[13] A. F. Vasil'ev and T. I. Vasilyeva. On finite groups whose principal factors are simple groups. *Russian Mathematics* (Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika) **41**(11) (1997), 8–12.

[14] V. A. Vedernikov. On some classes of finite groups. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk BSSR* **32**(10) (1988), 872-875. (In Russian)